

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/525,999	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HORETH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SUCHIN PARIHAR	<b>Art Unit</b> 2825	

**All Participants:**

(1) SUCHIN PARIHAR (Examiner, AU 2825).

(2) John Lingl (Reg.# 57,414).

**Date of Interview:** 13 May 2010

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Suchin Parihar/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2825

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant to discuss a potential correction to the Title of the invention on the Specification filed on 2/28/2005. Applicant agreed that the title needed correction, and suggested the Examiner's Amendment. This amendment places the application into a condition for allowance.

Suchin Parihar  
Examiner, AU 2825  
571-272-6210.